

Remarks

Further and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 3 and 7, and to limit the amount of oil and fat to 25% or more, in response to the Examiner's rejections of the claims under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112. As a result of these amendments, claims 3, 7, 11, 13, 15, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27 and 31 have been cancelled. Claim 12 has been cancelled as being a duplicate of claim 1.

The rejections of claims 1-3, 5-15, 17-18, 20-22, 24-28 and 29-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for not being enabled by the specification have been rendered moot in view of the claim amendments. That is, the claims have been limited to subject matter which the Examiner indicates is enabled by the specification.

Therefore, in view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is submitted that each of the grounds of rejection set forth by the Examiner has been overcome, and that the application is in condition for allowance. Such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Takahiro KITANO et al.

By:



Michael R. Davis

Registration No. 25,134

Attorney for Applicants

MRD/AP/pth
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
December 15, 2004